

# Another Note on Polynomial vs Rational Approximation

BORIS SHEKHTMAN

*Department of Mathematics, University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida 33620*

*Communicated by Peter B. Borwein*

Received October 17, 1994; accepted June 6, 1995

Let  $E$  be a subspace of  $C(X)$  and let  $R(E) = \{g/h : g, h \in E; h > 0\}$ . We make a simple, yet intriguing observation: if zero is a best approximation to  $f$  from  $E$ , then zero is a best approximation to  $f$  from  $R(E)$ .

We also prove that if  $\{E_n\}$  is dense in  $C(X)$  then for almost all  $f$  (in the sense of category)

$$\limsup d(f, R(E_n))/d(f, E_n) = 1.$$

That extends the results of P. Borwein and S. Zhou who proved it for the case when  $E_n$  is the space of algebraic or trigonometric polynomials of degree  $n$ . © 1996 Academic Press, Inc.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Consider an arbitrary function  $f \in C_{[-1,1]}$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_n$  stand for the space of polynomials of degree  $n$  and let  $\mathcal{R}_{n,n}$  stand for rational functions

$$\left\{ \frac{g}{h} : g, h \in \mathcal{P}_n; h > 0 \right\}.$$

Let  $p_n^*$  be the best approximation to  $f$  from  $\mathcal{P}_n$ . Then zero is the unique best approximation from  $\mathcal{R}_{n,n}$  to  $f - p_n^*$ .

Here is a short proof:

The function  $f - p_n^*$  equioscillates i.e. there are points  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n+2} \in [-1, 1]$  such that  $(f - p_n^*)(\xi_j) = \lambda(-1)^j \|f - p_n^*\|$  where  $\lambda = \pm 1$  (say  $\lambda = -1$ ). Now if  $\|f - p_n^* - g/h\| \leq \|f - p_n^*\|$  then  $g/h(\xi_j) \geq 0$  for  $j$  even and  $g/h(\xi_j) \leq 0$  for  $j$  odd. Since  $h$  is strictly positive, the function  $g \in \mathcal{P}_n$  should satisfy the same condition

$$g(\xi_j) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } j \text{ even} \quad \text{and} \quad g(\xi_j) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } j \text{ odd.}$$

That forces  $g$  to have  $n + 1$  zeros and hence  $g = 0$ . Examining this proof it is easy to conclude that it has nothing to do with the nature of  $h$ , as long as it is strictly positive. The only property of  $g$  that we used is that  $g$  and  $p^*$  belong to the same Chebyshev subspace of  $C_{[-1,1]}$ .

It turns out that this statement (aside from uniqueness) holds true for rational functions where the numerator and denominator come from arbitrary subspaces of  $C(X)$ . This is the content of Theorem 2.1.

We then use this theorem to prove that for most of the functions (in the sense of category) in  $C(X)$  the rate of best approximation and the rate of best rational approximation is the same. This is known for specific subspaces (cf [1], [3]). We prove it for arbitrary subspaces of  $C(X)$ .

## 2. THE BEST RATIONAL APPROXIMATION

Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space, let  $C(X)$  be the space of real-valued continuous functions on  $X$ . If  $G$  and  $H$  are subspaces of  $C(X)$  we use

$$R(G, H) := \{g/h : g \in G, h \in H, h(x) > 0 \text{ for all } x \in X\}.$$

To avoid trivialities we will always assume that  $H$  contains a strictly positive function.

We will identify the dual space  $(C(X))^*$  with the space of regular Borel measures on  $X$ :  $\mathcal{M}(X)$ , and the same letter may mean a measure or a functional.

Finally if  $A$  is a subset of  $C(X)$ , and  $f \in C(X)$

$$d(f, A) := \inf\|f - a\| : a \in A\}.$$

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $f \in C(X)$  and  $G \subset C(X)$  be a subspace such that there exists  $g \in G$  with  $\|f - g^*\| = d(f, G)$ . Then for every subspace  $H \subset C(X)$*

$$d(f - g^*, R(G, H)) = \|f - g^*\|.$$

*Hence zero is a best approximation to  $f - g^*$  from  $R(G, H)$ . Moreover if  $X = [a, b]$  and  $G$  is Chebyshev then zero is the unique best approximation from  $R(G, H)$  to  $f - g^*$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $g^*$  is the best approximation from  $G$  to  $f$ , hence there exists a functional  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  such that

$$\mu \perp G \text{ i.e. } \mu(g) = 0 \quad \text{for all } g \in G. \quad (1)$$

$$\|\mu\| = 1; \quad \mu(f - g^*) = \|f - g^*\| \|\mu\|. \quad (2)$$

We adopt the logic of [2] for this particular case.

Let  $a > 0$  be such that  $d(f - g^*, R(G, H)) < a$ . Then there exists  $g \in G$ ,  $h \in H$ ,  $h > 0$  such that for  $\tilde{f} := g/h$  we have  $\|(f - p^*) - \tilde{f}\| < a$ .

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \neq \|f - p^*\|(|\mu|(h)) &= ((f + p^*)\mu)(h) = \int (f - p^*) h \, d\mu \\ &= \int ((f - p^*) - \tilde{f}) h \, d\mu + \int \tilde{f} h \, d\mu = \int ((f - p^*) - \tilde{f}) h \, d\mu + \int g \, d\mu \\ & \quad (\text{since } \tilde{f}h = g) = \int ((f - p^*) - \tilde{f}) h \, d\mu \\ & \quad (\text{since } \mu \perp G) \leq \|((f - p^*) - \tilde{f})\| \int h \, d|\mu| \quad (\text{since } h \text{ is positive}) < a |\mu|(h). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $a > \|f - p^*\| = d(f, G)$ . The “moreover” part of the Theorem was already proved in the Introduction. ■

*Remark.* Theorem 2.1 is a very simple observation. Yet even in the simple case of  $C(X) = C_{[-1,1]}$ ;  $R(G, H) = \mathcal{R}_{n,n}$  it is somewhat surprising. First of all it provides a large class of functions for which the best rational approximation is easily computed.

Second, it shows how easy it is to spoil a function for rational approximation.

For instance  $d(|x|, \mathcal{R}_{n,n}) \sim e^{\alpha\sqrt{n}}$ . Add to  $|x|$  a polynomial of degree  $n$  (namely  $-p_n^*$ ) and the rate of approximation drops, and drops significantly to  $1/n$ , since  $d(|x| - p_n^*, \mathcal{R}_{n,n}) = \||x| - p_n^*\| \sim 1/n$ .

### 3. RATES OF APPROXIMATION

We now use the Theorem 2.1 to extend a result of P. Borwein and S. Zhou (cf. [1], Theorem 1) from  $\mathcal{R}_{n,n}$  to  $R(G_n, H_n)$  for arbitrary  $G_n, H_n \subset C(X)$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be an infinite compact Hausdorff space. Let  $G_n \subset C(X)$  be a sequence of finite-dimensional subspaces such that*

$$d(f, G_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for all } f \in C(X).$$

*Then for all finite-dimensional subspaces  $H_n \subset C(X)$ , the set*

$$A := \{f \in C(X) : \limsup [d(f, R(G_n, H_n))/d(f, G_n)] \geq 1\}$$

*is the set of second category in  $C(X)$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed as in [1]. Let  $\tilde{G}_n := C(X) \setminus G_n$ . Then  $\tilde{G}_n$  is open and dense in  $C(X)$ . We consider sets

$$A_n = \{f \in C(X): \text{there exists } m(n) > n \text{ with}$$

$$d(f, R(G_m, H_m))/d(f, G_m) > 1 - \frac{1}{n}; d(f, G_m) \neq 0\}.$$

Then  $A = (\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) \cap (\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{G}_n)$ . It remains to prove that each set  $A_n$  is open and dense in  $C(X)$ . The proof that  $A_n$  is open is exactly the same as in ([1], Theorem 1) and we refer to it for technical details. The idea, however is very simple. For a fixed  $f \in A_n$  choose  $\varepsilon$  and  $\delta$  so small that for all  $\tilde{f}$  with  $\|f - \tilde{f}\| < \delta$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f} \notin G_n; \quad |d(\tilde{f}, R(G_n, H_n)) - d(f, R(G_n, H_n))| < \varepsilon; \\ |d(f, G_n) - d(\tilde{f}, G_n)| < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is “very small” the ratio  $d(\tilde{f}, R(G_n, H_n))/d(\tilde{f}, G_n)$  is still greater than  $1 - 1/n$ .

We now turn to the density of  $A_n$ . Let  $f \in C(X)$ . For arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  pick  $\eta = \varepsilon/2$  and let  $g_m \in G_m$  be such that  $\|f - g_m\| < \eta$ ;  $m > n$ . Let

$$E_m := \text{span}\{g_m \cdot h_m + g'_m : g_m, g'_m \in G_m, h_m \in H_m\}.$$

Since  $G_m$  and  $H_m$  are of finite dimension, so is  $E_m$ . Let  $F$  be an arbitrary function in  $C(X) \setminus E_m$ . Since  $E_m$  is finite-dimensional, there exists  $e_m^*$  which is a best approximation to  $F$  from  $E_m$ . Denote

$$F := (F - e_m^*)/\|F - e_m^*\|.$$

We now consider the function

$$\varphi(x) = g_m(x) + \eta F^*(x).$$

Observe that  $\|f - \varphi\| < \eta + \eta = \varepsilon$ . It remains to show that  $\varphi \in A_n$ . Indeed, since  $G_m \subset E_m$  we have

$$\eta \geq d(\varphi, G_m) = d(\eta F^*, G_m) \geq d(\eta F^*, E_m) = \eta.$$

Therefore

$$d(\varphi, G_m) = \eta. \tag{3}$$

Now let  $e_m/h_m$  be an arbitrary element in  $R(E_m, H_m)$ . Then

$$\varphi - \frac{e_m}{h_m} = \eta F^* + g_m - \frac{e_m}{h_m} = \eta F^* + \frac{g_m h_m - e_m}{h_m} = \eta F - \frac{e'_m}{h_m}$$

where  $e'_m = e_m - g_m h_m$  is an arbitrary element in  $E_m$ . Thus

$$d(\varphi, R(E_m, H_m)) = d(\eta F^*, R(E_m, H_m)) = \eta.$$

The last equality follows from the Theorem 2.1.

Since  $G_m \subset E_m$  we have

$$d(\varphi, R(G_m, H_m)) \geq d(\varphi, R(E_m, H_m)) = \eta;$$

which together with (3) implies

$$d(\varphi, R(G_m, H_m))/d(\varphi, G_m) \geq \eta/\eta = 1 > 1 - \frac{1}{n}$$

and hence  $\varphi \in A_n$ . ■

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am grateful to Professor Zhou for pointing out the reference [1] and [3] to me. A conversation with him sparked off my interest in this subject.

#### REFERENCES

1. P. BORWEIN AND S. ZHOU, The usual behavior of rational approximation, II, *J. Approx. Theory* **73**, No. 3 (1993), 278–289.
2. G. GIERZ AND B. SHEKHTMAN, On duality in rational approximation, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **19** (1988), 138–143.
3. S. ZHOU, The usual behavior of Müntz rational approximation rate, preprint, 1994.